

Working Group-Safety

Functional Safety Activities

Huzaifa Saadat 17.6.2021 AHK Meets AUTOSAR – June 2021

Virtual@AHK





















Table of Contents

Activities in Working Group-Safety's Subgroups

1. WG-SAF-E2E (Safe Communication)

2. WG-SAF-PHM (Safe Execution)

3. WG-SAF-FSA (Safe Architecture)



Safe Communication

Types of Communication Faults According to ISO 26262-6 (Appendix D)

- Faults during exchange of information:
 - Repetition of information
 - Loss of information
 - Delay of information
 - Insertion of information
 - Masquerade or incorrect addressing of information
 - Incorrect sequence of information
 - Corruption of information
 - Asymmetric information sent from a sender to multiple receivers
 - Information from a sender received by only a subset of the receivers
 - Blocking access to a communication channel



Safe Communication

End-To-End Protection

- Profiles help to detect the communication faults
- Profiles 1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 11, 22, 44, 4m and 7m have been standardized by AUTOSAR so far
- Each Profile consists of different lengths of the following parameters:
 - Length (e.g. 16 bits, 32 bits)
 - Counter (e.g. 4 bits, 8 bits, 16 bits, 32 bits)
 - Data ID (e.g. 8 bits, 16 bits, 32 bits)
 - CRC (with different standards and polynomials)
 - Message Type (2 bits)
 - Message Result (2 bits)
 - Source ID (28 bits)



Safe Execution

Platform Health Management and Watchdog Manager

- One of the safety mechanisms for error detection in ISO 26262-6 (7.4.12) is:
 - "Monitoring of programme execution by an external element such as an ASIC or another software element performing a watchdog function. Monitoring can be logical or temporal monitoring or both"
- That's where PHM (in AP) and WdgM (in CP) come into play:
 - Types of Supervisions offered:
 - Alive Supervision (checking whether the process is still responding)
 - Deadline Supervision (checking whether the process performs tasks within time)
 - Logical Supervision (checking whether the program flow is correct)



Safe Architecture

Functional Safety Architecture

- Verify the impact on functional safety and vote for the changes and bugs in the Change Control Board (CCB)
- Assess the impact of the incoming concepts on functional safety
- Providing ISO 26262 high level guidance towards AUTOSAR
 - EXP_SafetyOverview:
 - SEooC
 - System Description
 - Hazard Analysis (Abstract)
 - Safety Goals (High Level)
 - Functional Safety Concept

- RS_Safety:
 - Top Level Safety Requirements
 - Functional Safety Requirements
 - Technical Safety Requirements





Thank you for your attention!





















